

MAY. 27. 2008 4:09PM

HARRINGTON & SMITH

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

NO. 460 P. 1

OK TO ENTER: /C.H./

**MAY 27 2008**

07/21/2008

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 10/572,710 Confirmation No.: 4697

Applicant(s): Zhu et al.

Filed: 03/17/2006

Art Unit: 2618

Examiner: Hannon, Christian A.

Title: A Mobile Cellular Telephone with a Display that is  
Controlled Partly by an Incline Sensor

Attorney Docket No.: 884A.0129.U1(US)

Customer No.: 29,683

Commissioner For Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response to Office Action

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed 03/28/2008 in  
regard to the above-identified patent application.

Claims 1-9, 11-14, 15-23, and 25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C.  
§103(a) as being unpatentable over Hinckley et al. (Research  
Publication ACM UIST 2000 Symposium on User Interface Software  
and Technology, "Sensing Techniques for Mobile Interaction",  
CHI Letters vol. 2, 2, pp. 91-100). Claims 10 and 24 were  
rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over  
Hinckley in view Kalinski et al. (US 2003/0174307). The  
examiner is requested to reconsider these rejections.

To establish *prima facie* obviousness of a claimed invention,  
all the claim limitations must be taught or suggested by the  
prior art. *In re Royka*, 490 F.2d 981, 180 USPQ 580 (CCPA  
1974).